Diffusione: n.d. Dir. Resp.: Thorold Barker da pag. 12

## Judging the IRS

A pair of court orders seek answers about the vanishing emails.

Lettori: n.d.

ongress has been working for more than a year to get to the bottom of the IRS political targeting scandal, and the Obama Administration has resisted across the board. So hurrah to the judicial branch, which this week stepped into the fray with orders that could force the IRS to start coughing up some answers

U.S. District Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on Thursday ordered the IRS to provide for him, within a month, a sworn declaration explaining how the agency came to lose two years' worth of email belonging to former Director of Exempt Organizations Lois Lerner. Judge Sullivan also assigned a federal magistrate, John Facciola, to conduct his own query into whether Ms. Lerner's emails might be obtained by other means. The order suggested that Judge Sullivan was far from satisfied with the IRS's cursory explanations of crashed hard drives and irretrievable information.

On Friday a second federal judge, Reggie B. Walton, issued another order, demanding the IRS provide under oath an affidavit outlining what happened to Ms.

Lerner's hard drive, the qualifications of anybody who attempted to retrieve her lost email, and the status of the IRS Inspector General's investigation into these

> issues. Judge Walton gave the IRS one week to respond.

> Now we're getting somewhere. The IRS has slow-rolled document production for Congress and

then it waited two months to tell its legislative overseers that Ms. Lerner's emails had vanished. The Justice Department and FBI, meanwhile, have leaked to the press that their probes have found nothing wrong.

Both of these judicial orders are the result of litigation filed by outside groups—Judge Sullivan's in response to the watchdog group Judicial Watch and Judge Walton's to True the Vote, one of the conservative groups that the IRS mistreated.

Judges take due process and discovery claims seriously, especially when they involve concerns over the infringement of the right to free political speech. And they rightly don't take kindly to evasion or failure to produce evidence. We look forward to the IRS's answers.



Giudicare l'IRS

